

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/676,551	10/01/2003	Leonid Zeygerman	TAI-15	7671
,	26614 75	90 06/29/2006		EXAMINER	
	PEPE & HAZARD, LLP 225 ASYLUM ST.			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
	HARTFORD, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1725	.
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/676,551	ZEYGERMAN, LEONID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply	/ IC OFT TO EVDIDE AMOUTH	(C) OR THIRTY (20) DAVC				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	_· action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> =	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. have been acceptical					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/03, 4/05, 5/06</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/676,551

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujita et al. (USPN 5,109,148) in view of Puozzo et al. (USPN 4,851,637) and Serruys (USPN 6,046,428).

Fujita et al. discloses a laser machining apparatus with a positioning device. The apparatus has a frame, a back "wall" (37), an arm (38), a laser machining unit (9), and a machining (X-Y) table (34). The work is carried on the table with a drive mechanism.

Translation is in the X and Y directions. In addition, vertical direction comes from movement of the laser machining unit.

Fujita et al. does not teach the use of a grid or unloading/loading with forks.

Puozzo et al. discloses a workpiece table with a grid system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a grid system, as taught by Puozzo et al. in the Fujita et al. apparatus, because it ensures work accuracy i.e. lining up the work with the grid system.

Serruys discloses a laser cutting device having a movable cutting head, a cutting table for supporting the workpiece, a movable loading and unloading carriage for placing the workpiece. The carriage also has a fork assembly for moving the workpiece.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a loading/unloading system with a fork, as taught by Serruys in the Fujita et al. apparatus because it is merely a workpiece positioning system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 25, 2006.

M. Alexandra Elve **Primary Examiner 1725** Page 3